

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,799	01/10/2002	Erwin Roy John	50124/00303	5663
. 7	7590 07/10/2003			
Fay Kaplun & Marcin, LLP			EXAMINER	
17th Floor 100 Maiden Lane			NASSER, ROBERT L	
New York, NY	10038		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	C				
·	10/045,799	JOHN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Robert L. Nasser	3736					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX accuse the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	— · nis action is non-final						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 45-68 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 45-47 and 52-67 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>48-51 and 68</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	nt.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			•				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2	?(a)).					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 L	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 							
Attachment(s)	-						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) iice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:					

Application/Control Number: 10/045,799

Art Unit: 3736

ŧ\

Applicant's election of Species II, claims 48-51 and 68 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The examiner notes that applicant listed claims 45-66 and 68 as being drawn to the elected embodiment. However, claim 45 recites using two stimulation frequencies. This is shown in version I or III, but not version II and hence claims 45-47 are not drawn to the elected embodiment. In addition, claim 52 recites comparing the brainwaves to a known pattern of brain waves. This is drawn to the embodiment of version IV. Hence, claims 52-66 are not drawn to the elected embodiment.

Claims 50 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 50 recites that the filter selects the frequency band. However, in the discussion of version two, it is clear that the frequency band is selected by adjusting knob 61. Clarification is required.

Claim 68 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant recites connection means in claim 68 with no associated function. It is therefore unclear whether or not applicant is intending to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. Applicant should clarify the issue.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,799

Art Unit: 3736

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 49-52 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartzell et al in view of Zimmerman et al and Devito. Hartzell shows a device with an active electrode 46 producing EEG signals, an amplifier 54 for amplifying the signals, a filter producing signals in a predetermined frequency range (alpha, beta, theta), and a tone generator producing an output corresponding to the signal. It does not have the connection means or the telemetry signal. Zimmerman et al teaches that it is desirable to have the electrode arrangement wirelessly communicate with the processor to allow the user freedom of movement during measurement. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify Hartzell to use wireless communication, so as to allow the patient to move around. In addition, DeVito shows a wireless EEG headband device. It would have been obvious to modify the above combination to use such a headband, as it is merely the substitution of one known equivalent EEG electrode device for another.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

All of Pavel, Bakerich et al, Lee, Shiga, and Anderson produce an audio output corresponding to the state of an EEG signal.

Allain et al and Kwon show devices for monitoring brain life or injury.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,799

Art Unit: 3736

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser whose telephone number is (703) 308-3251. The examiner can normally be reached on MAXIFLEX.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (703) 308-3130. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0758 for regular communications and (703) 308-0758 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Robert S. Masse 2

Robert L. Nasser **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3736

RLN July 3, 2003

> ROBERT L. NASSER PRIMARY EXAMINER